

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/748,857 | 12/30/2003 | Randall Cornfield | ICS-handle | 6240 | |
| 7590 02/02/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Louis Tessier 60 Balfour Town of Mount-Royal, QC H3P 1L6 CANADA | | | WILLIAMS, MARK A | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3676 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/748,857 | CORNFIELD, RANDALL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Mark A. Williams | 3676 | | |

| | Mark A. Williams | 3676 | |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | n the same day as filing a Noti wing replies: (1) an amendme otice of Appeal (with appeal fe | ce of Appeal. To avoid abant, affidavit, or other evidere) in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date se ater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE | mailing date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | stension and the corresponding ar shortened statutory period for rep r than three months after the mail | nount of the fee. The appropr ly originally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(| e)), to avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (se | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | tter form for appeal by materia | | the issues for |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ny rejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of | · | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a sepa | arate, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under | appeal and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims a | fter entry is below or attach | red. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa | per No(s). | |
| 13. | R. | 18/2 | |
| | / JULY POINT | . GLESSNER | |
| | SUPERVISORY | . GLESSNER PATENT EXAMINED | |
| | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that claimed relationship of the indentation surface and its relationship with a fowardly oriented section thereof and a first reference plane are not shown in the applied art. It is the position of the examiner that the claimed reference plane is an abstract concept and can be arbitrarily selected. No claim structure has been provided to define the reference plane and forward section in any substantially limiting manner. Therefor, it is still believed the applied rejection is proper.